

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/517,887 12/13/2004		Earl M. Ortt	0275Y-000583NPC	1601
27572	7590 08/08/2006		EXAMINER	
HARNESS,	DICKEY & PIERCE,	SCHEUERMANN, DAVID W		
P.O. BOX 82	• •		D 4 850 A 11 14 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15	
BLOOMFIELD HILLS, MI 48303			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2834	
		DATE MAILED: 08/08/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

en	_
----	---

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/517,887	ORTT ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
David W. Scheuermann	2834

	David W. Scheuermann	2834	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>18 July 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in t	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	rce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN TH	g date of the final reject	on.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1. tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered b	9031150
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co	onsideration and/or search (see NO ow);	TE below);	
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	tter form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rei	ected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		•	` ,
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 	·	•	•
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	☐ will not be entered, or b) ☐ wivided below or appended.	II be entered and an o	explanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	ut before or on the date of filing a N id sufficient reasons why the affida	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence i	ot be entered s necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	on of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attac	ned.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			
 The request for reconsideration has been considered by (note attached sheet). 			nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper I	No(s)	
13. Other:	_	<u> </u>	
29	SUPE	DAPMEN SCHUBER RVIJURY PATENT EX	AMINER
	TE	CHILOLOGY CENTER	2Ji00

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 4-05)

Art Unit: 2834

The combination of Meyer et al. and Nakazawa would not render Meyer et al. inoperative because one of ordinary skill in the art would know to use a grinding or cutting wheel of appropriate dimension to render the profile as disclosed in Meyer et al. See the drawing below for an example thereof. Note that Meyer et al. shows an arcuate surface toward the end of grinding or cutting wheel. Furthermore Nakazawa is used merely to show use of a grinding or cutting wheel to machine a brush is known in the art. Accordingly the 103 rejection is proper and is maintained.

